Morris James LLP

Patricia R. Uhlenbrock 302.888.6897 puhlenbrock@morrisjames.com

April 24, 2008

The Honorable Gregory M. Sleet U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware 844 North King Street, Room 4209 Lockbox 18 Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Institute for Disabilities Research and Training, Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., C.A. No. 05-176-GMS

Dear Judge Sleet:

Re:

We serve as Delaware counsel in the above-captioned case, along with Harvey Greenberg. After trial in the above-referenced case concluded on or about April 24, 2006, this Court issued its Memorandum and Judgment in favor of *Institute for Disabilities Research and Training, Inc.* ("IDRT") on September 25, 2007. Although the Court awarded damages to IDRT, it did not include the specific amount of money damages awarded to IDRT. On October 25, 2007, Plaintiff IDRT filed post-trial motions to address that issue. On the same date, Wal-Mart (Defendant) filed a "Protective" Appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. On January 9, 2008, that Court "stayed" the appeal pending this Court's resolution of the post-trial motions. On January 23, 2008, the Plaintiff requested the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit to reconsider its docket order and instead, dismiss the appeal. That Motion has not been decided. Also, on January 23, 2008, we wrote to this Court, reiterating the open damage amount issue, the case status and suggested a settlement conference might help to resolve the open matter in an expeditious and efficient manner.

We respectfully request that the Court review the status of this case and schedule a conference, set dates for the parties' submissions on the amount of monetary damages for the "Phase II Contract Price" that this Court found to be due to IDRT and/or a hearing date in order to address the open issue(s). While the Plaintiff is, of course, anxious to move this matter forward, it understands the Court's schedule must accommodate whatever proceeding the Court feels is appropriate. Nevertheless, if there is anything at all that the Plaintiff or counsel can do to help move the case along, it/we are ready to accommodate the Court.

Morris James LLP

Ilhle

Page 2 of 2

The Honorable Gregory M. Sleet April 24, 2008 Page 2 of 2

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia R. Uhlenbrock (#4011)

PRU/dar Enclosures

cc: Gregory A. Inskip, Esquire (via e-filing)

Harvey R. Greenberg, Esquire (via e-mail)

Clerk of the Court (via e-filing)